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Abstract

Ever since Bank of England first published its inflation forecasts in February 1996, the Fan Chart has been an integral part of inflation reports of central banks around the world. The Fan Chart is basically used to improve presentation: to focus attention on the whole of the forecast distribution, rather than on small changes to the central projection. However, forecast distribution is a technical concept originated from the statistical sampling distribution. In this paper, we have presented the technical details underlying the derivation of Fan Chart used in representing the uncertainty in inflation forecasts. The uncertainty occurs because of the inter-play of the macro-economic variables affecting inflation. The uncertainty in the macro-economic variables is based on their historical standard deviation of the forecast errors, but we also allow these to be subjectively adjusted. Also we allow a subjective assessment of balance of risk. Thus, the methodology presented here shows how the balance of risk for various macro-economic variables can be linked with inflation uncertainty.
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1. Introduction

In 1992, the inflation-targeting UK monetary policy gave more emphasis on a forward looking view of inflation, which was expressed explicitly by an inflation forecast. Accordingly, the Bank of England (BoE) published a two-year ahead inflation rate forecast in their Inflation Report between February 1993 and February 1996 in the form of a chart showing a central projection path of inflation. The chart also gave a measure of the range of uncertainty based on the forecast errors from the previous ten years. But the chart was not fully satisfactory as it gave no emphasis on the risk of the forecast and ignored a very wide degree of uncertainty surrounding the central projection. Since February 1996, the BoE is publishing the inflation forecast in an explicit form of a forecast probability distribution called ‘Fan Chart’.

In majority of the applications, a fan chart illustrates the probability distributions for the forecast of inflation and output growth, based on the central projection, uncertainty and the risks surrounding them. In traditional view, one can assume that the possible outcomes for inflation will symmetrically disperse around a central value or most probable value, and the values will more likely be closer to the centre than those further away. This leads to the assumption of bell-shaped normal distribution for the forecast distribution as it is widely used in statistical analysis. But the assessment of likely alternative outcomes suggests that forecast error is more likely to be in one direction than the other. That is why it is necessary to explore for a particular form of statistical distribution which has a degree of asymmetry in the form of a variable skew. In this context, the concept of two-piece normal or split normal distribution becomes handy (Britton, et al., 1998; Blix and Sellin, 1998). In statistics terminology, the fan chart is nothing but plotting of the parametric values of this split normal density for every forecast horizon. It represents the forecasting distribution of the variable based on its available past information. In comparison to the traditional symmetric forecasting
distribution, fan chart gives the marginal distribution which may be non-
symmetric and it also depicts the whole marginal distribution at each period of
time in the forecasting horizon.

From a central bank’s point of view, presentation of inflation forecast with
uncertainty around the forecast is useful for several reasons. First and foremost,
it serves to illustrate that the inflation forecast is inherently uncertain. The
uncertainty is both about the shocks that will affect the economy as well as
uncertainty about qualitative and quantitative nature of the transmission
mechanism. Second, the asymmetric structures of the bands serve to present the
central bank’s view of the balance of risks to the public and to market
participants. In particular, it allows the central bank to communicate with less
ambiguity whether the risk is believed to be higher that inflation will be below the
forecast than that it will be above. Finally, an explicit pictorial view of the inflation
forecast uncertainty also helps to isolate and examine its sources, which
supports macro policy making. Therefore, fan chart is a useful tool in the toolkit of
macro policy makers.

The rest of the paper is outlined as follows: various features of a forecasting
distribution are discussed in Section 2. In Section 3, we described the
methodology of the split-normal distribution. In Section 4, we discussed on how
various countries are using fan chart. The application of fan chart methodology to
Indian context is illustrated in Section 5; and finally Section 6 concludes with a
few remarks.

2. Features of a Forecasting Distribution

As discussed earlier, the fan chart represents a probability distribution that
captures the subjective assessment of inflationary pressures evolving through
time based on a central view and the risk surrounding it. Therefore, for such
forecasting distributions, we need to know three parameters, viz.,

a) a measure of central tendency or central view,

b) a view on the degree of uncertainty,
c) a view on the balance of risk.

a) 

**Central view**

This is usually expressed as a particular projected path based on a model that maps choices about economic assumptions onto an inflation forecast. Since no single projection at a future date has much chance to match with the subsequent outcome, one should take into account the full range of possibilities. Towards this, one uses the mode forecast instead of mean forecast, as mode is the more likely value in the sense that it maximizes the probability density function. This mode forecast has some drawbacks as it uses less information about the distribution and therefore is less sensitive to unlikely outcomes, e.g., if the distribution is multimodal, it would select only one peak and ignore the other peaks. Also mode does not have asymptotic justification like the mean as a measure of central tendency. However, the first drawback is not a serious concern as long as the distribution is unimodal and not too flat. Second, we can say that, the main use of asymptotic theory is in the inference problem when the finite-sample distribution is unknown. But it is not a problem when the distribution is known. Rather, our problem is on how best to estimate the parameters of the distribution.

b) 

**Degree of uncertainty**

This is the degree of dispersion in the distribution that can be measured by variance or mean absolute error or inter-quartile range of the historical data. Among these three, we prefer the variance. Uncertainty is a forward looking view of the risk as it tells how the future events will differ from the central view. The variance of the forecast distribution is determined from the standard deviation of the historical forecast errors and initially taken as fixed.

c) 

**Balance of risk**

In case of symmetric distribution, i.e., if the risk is symmetrically distributed around the central view, the more likely forecast will coincide with the mean forecast. But in case of asymmetric distribution, i.e., if risk is unbalanced, the
more likely value differs from the average. If the balance of risk is on the upside, the mean forecast will be higher than the mode and the distribution will be positively skewed and if the balance of risk is on downside, the mean forecast will be lower than the mode and the distribution will be negatively skewed.

3. Methodology

In this section, we discussed about the underlying distribution of fan chart. Generally, split normal or two-piece normal distribution is used in this respect. There are several equivalent parameterizations for the split-normal distribution. Here we study only two of them in details and establish the parametric relationship between them.

Let us consider a random variable $X$. $X$ is said to have a split normal density or two piece normal density if its probability density function is given by:

$$f_X(x; \mu, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \begin{cases} 
  C \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2}(x-\mu)^2\right\}, & \text{for } -\infty \leq x \leq \mu \\
  C \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2}(x-\mu)^2\right\}, & \text{for } \mu < x < \infty 
\end{cases}$$

............... (1)

where, $-\infty < \mu < \infty$ is the mode or the more likely value of the variable. $\sigma_1 > 0$ and $\sigma_2 > 0$ are the left and right hand side standard deviations respectively.

When $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2$, the distribution is biased to the left i.e., negatively skewed, and when $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2$, the distribution is biased to the right i.e., positively skewed and if $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$, the normal density arises.

$$C = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2\right)^{-1}$$

is a normalizing constant such that (1) integrates to unity.

Details of the derivation of the parameter estimates are presented in Appendix. It may be noted that the density is completely specified if the triplet $(\mu, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is known (John, 1982).

Let us denote the balance of risk by $p$. Then we have,
\[ p = P(X \leq \mu) = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} \] 

The mean of the distribution is,
\[ \tilde{\mu} = E[X] = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1) + \mu \]

The variance of the distribution is,
\[ \nu[x] = \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi}\right)(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)^2 + \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \]

The non-symmetry coefficient,
\[ E[(X - \tilde{\mu})^3] = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1) \left[ \left(\frac{4}{\pi} - 1\right)(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)^2 + \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \right] \]

Another skew indicator is,
\[ \xi = \tilde{\mu} - \mu = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1) + \mu - \mu = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1) \]

such that, when the distribution is biased to the right, \( \xi > 0 \) and when the distribution is biased to the left, \( \xi < 0 \).

For the density given in (1), we also can compute the probability as follows,
\[ P[L_1 \leq X \leq L_2] = \int_{L_1}^{L_2} f_X(x)dx \]

\[ = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{2\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} \left[ \Phi\left(\frac{L_2 - \mu}{\sigma_1}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{L_1 - \mu}{\sigma_1}\right) \right], & \text{if } L_1 < L_2 \leq \mu \\
\frac{2\sigma_2}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} \left[ \Phi\left(\frac{L_2 - \mu}{\sigma_2}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{L_1 - \mu}{\sigma_2}\right) \right], & \text{if } \mu \leq L_1 < L_2 \\
\frac{2}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} \left[ \sigma_2 \Phi\left(\frac{L_2 - \mu}{\sigma_2}\right) - \sigma_1 \Phi\left(\frac{L_1 - \mu}{\sigma_1}\right) + \frac{\sigma_1 - \sigma_2}{2} \right], & \text{if } L_1 \leq \mu < L_2 \\
\end{array} \right. \]

From the above we can compute the \( \alpha \)-th percentile \((0<\alpha<1)\) of the distribution.

Let it be a constant ‘k’ such that,
From (7), we can compute,

\[
P[X \leq k] = \begin{cases} 
C \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_1 \Phi\left(\frac{k - \mu}{\sigma_1}\right), & \text{for } k \leq \mu \\
1 - C \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_2 \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{k - \mu}{\sigma_2}\right)\right], & \text{for } k > \mu 
\end{cases}
\]

Hence the distribution quantiles will be,

\[
k = \begin{cases} 
\mu + \sigma_1 \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{C \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_1}\right), & \text{for } \alpha \leq p = P[x \leq \mu] \\
\mu + \sigma_2 \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha + C \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_2 - 1}{C \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_2}\right), & \text{for } \alpha > p = P[x \leq \mu] 
\end{cases}
\]

We have an alternative parametrization of the above distribution which takes into account three parameters regarding mode(\(\mu\)), uncertainty(\(\sigma\)) and skewness(\(\gamma\)) (Johnson, Kotz and Balakrishnan, 1994). The p.d.f. is given by,

\[
f_X(x; \mu, \sigma, \gamma) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{A}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{1 - \gamma}{2\sigma^2} \left(x - \mu\right)^2\right\}, & \text{if } x \leq \mu \\
\frac{A}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{1 + \gamma}{2\sigma^2} \left(x - \mu\right)^2\right\}, & \text{if } x > \mu 
\end{cases}
\]

where \(A = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1 - \gamma} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \gamma}}}\) is a normalization constant,

\(-1 < \gamma < 1\) is known as inverse skewness indicator,

\(\sigma\) is the uncertainty indicator.

Comparing (10) with (1) we have,
\[ \sigma_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{1-\gamma}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_2 = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{1+\gamma}} \]

Thus,
if \( \gamma > 0 \), \( \sigma_1 > \sigma_2 \), the distribution is biased to the left,
if \( \gamma < 0 \), \( \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 \), the distribution is biased to the right and
if \( \gamma = 0 \), \( \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \), the distribution is normal.

Now the balance of risk is,
\[ p = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} \]

(from (2))

\[ = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{1-\gamma} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{1+\gamma}}} = \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{\frac{1-\gamma}{1+\gamma}}} \]

(from (11))

Thus,
\[ 1 + \sqrt{\frac{1-\gamma}{1+\gamma}} = \frac{1}{p} \]

or \( \frac{1-\gamma}{1+\gamma} + 1 = \frac{(1-p)^2}{p^2} + 1 \)

or \( \frac{2}{1+\gamma} = \frac{1-2p+2p^2}{p^2} \)

or \( \gamma = \frac{2p-1}{1-2p+2p^2} \)

………… (12)

and finally,
\[ \xi = \tilde{\mu} - \mu = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{1+\gamma}} - \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{1-\gamma}} \right) \]

……….. (13) (from (6) and (11))
Therefore, we have,

\[
\gamma = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{\sqrt{1+2\beta} - 1}{\beta}\right)^2}, & \text{if } \xi > 0 \\ \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{\sqrt{1+2\beta} - 1}{\beta}\right)^2}, & \text{if } \xi < 0 \end{cases}
\]

\[\xi \beta \]

\[\sigma = \frac{\pi}{2\sigma^2} \xi^2.
\]

So, whenever the information regarding the parameters of the above distribution specially the triplet \((\mu, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)\) in hand, the fan chart can be drawn. Here, \(\mu\) can be estimated by any of the ARMA / ARIMA / VAR / BVAR process. The uncertainty parameter \(\sigma\) comes from the historical variability of the inflation process and the asymmetry parameter \(\pi\) is somewhere judgmental depending upon the perception of the inflation scenario in the future.

4. Use of Fan Chart in Different Countries

Fan chart is a very useful map for any inflation-targeting central bank. Bank of England uses Fan Chart in its Inflation Report since February 1996 to represent their inflation forecast. Indeed fan chart of Bank of England is considered as pioneering in the field of inflation analysis. Sveriges Riksbank (BoS) is also publishing similar density forecasts of inflation in its Inflation Report since December 1997. The cases of United Kingdom and Sweden are benchmarks for balance of risk analysis. Instead of taking symmetric forecasting distributions, they considered asymmetry and based on current developments and the future outlook, they made assumptions about the central value of the distribution, the variance and the degree of asymmetry. Since 2008, European Central Bank (ECB) bringing out inflation dynamics in the form of fan charts.

Apart from the inflation forecast, fan chart is also used to illustrate the prospective density function of future male survival rates by Blake, Dowd and Cairns (2008) using mortality data for England and Wales. They found that,
taking account of uncertainty in the parameters of the underlying mortality model leads to major increases in estimates of the widths of the Fan Chart.

5. Application of Fan Chart to Indian Context

As an empirical illustration in Indian context, forecast distribution of WPI-based inflation forecasts is presented in the form of a fan chart. The baseline forecast is based on a vector auto-regression (VAR) model using monthly data on WPI, IIP, REER and M1. The program for computation and representation of fan chart is developed using MS Office Excel 2003 in Visual Basic. Based on the latest WPI data available till March 2011, fan chart is represented. The mode values or the more likely values were estimated from the VAR model as mentioned earlier. All other parameters of the forecast distribution are presented in Table 1 which form the inputs for fan chart representation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mode</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>10.20</td>
<td>10.10</td>
<td>10.40</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>9.70</td>
<td>9.40</td>
<td>7.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>9.03</td>
<td>9.27</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>10.73</td>
<td>10.33</td>
<td>9.84</td>
<td>9.40</td>
<td>7.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stand Dev</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bias</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Estimated Probability that WPI Inflation will falls into various ranges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pr.{&lt;3.5%}</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>1.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr.{3.5%-4%}</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>1.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr.{4%-4.5%}</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>1.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr.{4.5%-5%}</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>4.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr.{5%-5.5%}</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>6.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr.{5.5%-6%}</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>8.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr.{6%-6.5%}</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>9.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr.{6.5%-7%}</td>
<td>0.20%</td>
<td>0.56%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
<td>0.35%</td>
<td>0.75%</td>
<td>10.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr.{7%-7.5%}</td>
<td>1.98%</td>
<td>2.80%</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
<td>0.24%</td>
<td>1.18%</td>
<td>4.85%</td>
<td>11.29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr.{7.5%-8%}</td>
<td>9.19%</td>
<td>8.50%</td>
<td>0.13%</td>
<td>0.31%</td>
<td>0.26%</td>
<td>0.99%</td>
<td>3.16%</td>
<td>7.29%</td>
<td>10.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr.{8%-8.5%}</td>
<td>19.75%</td>
<td>15.57%</td>
<td>0.73%</td>
<td>1.40%</td>
<td>1.10%</td>
<td>3.08%</td>
<td>6.80%</td>
<td>9.84%</td>
<td>9.47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr.{8.5%-9%}</td>
<td>21.86%</td>
<td>17.83%</td>
<td>2.85%</td>
<td>4.41%</td>
<td>3.45%</td>
<td>7.18%</td>
<td>11.66%</td>
<td>11.93%</td>
<td>7.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr.{&gt;9%}</td>
<td>47.01%</td>
<td>54.66%</td>
<td>96.27%</td>
<td>93.84%</td>
<td>95.14%</td>
<td>88.45%</td>
<td>76.75%</td>
<td>60.38%</td>
<td>15.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr.{&lt;Mode}</td>
<td>31.12%</td>
<td>31.09%</td>
<td>30.37%</td>
<td>34.11%</td>
<td>40.71%</td>
<td>40.96%</td>
<td>46.24%</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the above table, we observe that WPI-inflation, as per the baseline projection, is predicted to be around 7.20 per cent at the end of the current calendar year. As we move from current period to the future on monthly basis, we see that the standard error of forecast (i.e. the standard deviation) increases. Estimated Probability that WPI Inflation will fall into various ranges is presented in Table 2. In the coming months, uncertainty and upside risks of WPI inflation remained firm, particularly till June-July 2011. Finally, the forecast distribution in the form of a fan chart is presented in Chart 1.

The chart shows the relative likelihood of possible outcomes. The central band which is coloured by deep red indicates the central or baseline projection. More the uncertainty, wider is the band. If the risks are more on one side than the other, then the bands will be wider on that side of the central band.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, technical and computational details of drawing a fan chart are discussed. In this context, we have shown on how the balance of risk of any macro-economic indicator can be assessed by the skewness parameter of the distribution. It is also seen that the fan chart presentation is a combination of interaction of uncertainty and subjective judgment, intertwined with solid
statistical foundations. The main objective of using fan chart is to improve presentation i.e. to focus on the whole forecasting distribution rather than on small changes to the central projection. Thus for the central banks, fan chart helps to communicate clearly that monetary policy is about making decisions in an uncertain world and, therefore, bias in any direction for the baseline projection of inflation is natural.
APPENDIX

Now we shall derive the expression for the normalizing constant C in (1). We know that,

\[ \int f_X(x)dx = 1 \]

Therefore, from (1), we get,

\[ C \int_{-\infty}^{\mu} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^2_i} (x - \mu)^2} dx + C \int_{\mu}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^2} (x - \mu)^2} dx = 1 \]

or \( C I_1 + C I_2 = 1 \)  \hspace{1cm} \ldots \ldots (15)

Now,

\[ I_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\mu} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^2_i} (x - \mu)^2} dx \]

\[ = \sigma_i \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2 \sigma^2_i}} dt \]

\[ = \sigma_i \sqrt{2\pi} \frac{1}{2} \]

\[ = \sigma_i \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \]

substituting \( \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma_i} = t \) and using results of standard normal distribution.

Similarly we can show that,

\[ I_2 = \sigma_2 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \]

Therefore, from (15) we have,

\[ C \left( \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \right) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} = 1 \]

or \( C = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left( \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \right)^{-1} \).

Now we derive the balance of risk \( p \).

\[ p = P (X \leq \mu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\mu} C e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^2_i} (x - \mu)^2} dx \]
\[
\tilde{\mu} = E(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_X(x) \, dx
\]

\[
= C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}} \, dx + C \int_{\mu}^{\infty} x e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}} \, dx
\]

\[
= I_1 + I_2
\]

Now,

\[
I_1 = C \mu \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} \, dt
\]

\[
= C \sigma_1 \int_{-\infty}^{0} (\mu + \sigma_1 t) e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} \, dt
\]

\[
= C \sigma_1 \int_{0}^{\infty} (\mu - \sigma_1 t) e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} \, dt
\]

\[
= \mu \sigma_1 \frac{1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sigma_1^2
\]

Similarly we have,

\[
I_2 = \mu \sigma_2 \frac{1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sigma_2^2
\]

Therefore,

\[
\tilde{\mu} = I_1 + I_2 = \mu \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) \right] + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sigma_1^2 \frac{1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)
\]

To derive the expression for variance, we first compute,

\[
E[X^2] = C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}} \, dx + C \int_{\mu}^{\infty} x^2 e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}} \, dx
\]
\[= I_1 + I_2\]

\[I_1 = C \int_{-\infty}^{\mu} x^2 e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}} \, dx\]

\[= C \sigma_1 \int_{-\infty}^{0} (\mu + \sigma_1 t)^2 e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} \, dt\]

\[= \mu^2 \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} - 2C \mu \sigma_2^2 + C \sigma_2^3 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\]

Similarly,

\[I_2 = \mu^2 \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} + 2C \mu \sigma_2^2 + C \sigma_2^3 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\]

Therefore,

\[E[X^2] = \mu^2 + 2\mu \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1) + \left(\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_1 \sigma_2 + \sigma_2^2\right)\]

Therefore, we have,

\[V(X) = E(X^2) - E^2(X)\]

\[= \mu^2 + 2\mu \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1) + \left(\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_1 \sigma_2 + \sigma_2^2\right) - \left[\mu + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)\right]^2\]

\[= \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi}\right)(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)^2 + \sigma_1 \sigma_2\]

Now,

\[E[X^3] = C \int_{-\infty}^{\mu} x^3 e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}} \, dx + C \int_{\mu}^{\infty} x^3 e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}} \, dx\]

\[= C \left(I_1 + I_2\right)\]
Similarly,
\[ I_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^3 e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}} \, dx \]
\[ = \sigma_1 \int_{0}^{\infty} (\mu + \sigma_1 t)^3 e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} \, dt \]
\[ = \sigma_1 \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( \mu^3 + 3 \mu^2 \sigma_1 t + 3 \mu \sigma_1^2 t^2 + \sigma_1^3 t^3 \right) e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} \, dt \]
\[ = \sigma_1 \mu^3 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} - 3 \mu^2 \sigma_1^2 + 3 \mu \sigma_1^3 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} - 2 \sigma_1^4 \]

Similarly,
\[ I_2 = \sigma_2 \mu^3 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} + 3 \mu^2 \sigma_2^2 + 3 \mu \sigma_2^3 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} - 2 \sigma_2^4 \]

Therefore,
\[ E[X^3] = C \left[ \mu^3 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) + 3 \mu \sigma_2^2(\sigma_2^2 - \sigma_1^2) + 3 \mu \sigma_1^2 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) + 2 (\sigma_2^4 - \sigma_1^4) \right] \]
\[ = \mu^3 + 3 \mu^2 \frac{2}{\pi} (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1) + 3 \mu (\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_1 \sigma_2 + \sigma_2^2) + 2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1) \]

Therefore, the non-symmetry co-efficient becomes,
\[ E(X - \mu)^3 = E(X^3) - 3 \mu E(X^2) + 2 \mu^3 \]
\[ = \mu^3 + 3 \mu^2 \frac{2}{\pi} (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1) + 3 \mu (\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_1 \sigma_2 + \sigma_2^2) + 2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1) \]
\[ - 3 \left[ \mu + \frac{2}{\pi} (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1) \right] \left[ \mu^2 + 2 \mu \frac{2}{\pi} (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1) + (\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_1 \sigma_2 + \sigma_2^2) \right] \]
\[ + 2 \left[ \mu + \frac{2}{\pi} (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1) \right]^3 \]
\[ = 2 \frac{2}{\pi} (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1) \left[ \frac{4}{\pi} (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)^2 + 2 \sigma_1^2 + 2 \sigma_2^2 - 3 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 + 3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 + 3 \sigma_1^2 \right] \]
\[ = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1) \left[ \frac{4}{\pi} (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)^2 + \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \right] \]

Now we shall compute the probability in (7),
\[ P[L_1 \leq X \leq L_2] = \int_{L_1}^{L_2} f_X(x) \, dx \]

Three cases can occur as follows:
Case I: \( L_1 < L_2 \leq \mu \)

\[
P(L_1 \leq X \leq L_2) = \int_{L_1}^{L_2} Ce^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}} \, dx
\]

\[
= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} \int_{L_1-\mu}^{L_2-\mu} \frac{e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}}{\sigma_1} dt
\]

\[
= 2 \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} \int_{L_1-\mu}^{L_2-\mu} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt
\]

\[
= 2 \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} \left[ \Phi\left( \frac{L_2 - \mu}{\sigma_1} \right) - \Phi\left( \frac{L_1 - \mu}{\sigma_1} \right) \right]
\]

Case II: \( L_1 \leq \mu < L_2 \)

\[
P(L_1 \leq X \leq L_2) = \int_{L_1}^{\mu} Ce^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}} \, dx + \int_{\mu}^{L_2} Ce^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}} \, dx
\]

\[
= I_1 + I_2
\]

Now,

\[
I_1 = \int_{L_1}^{\mu} Ce^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}} \, dx
\]

\[
= 2 \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} \left[ \Phi(0) - \Phi\left( \frac{L_1 - \mu}{\sigma_1} \right) \right]
\]

\[
= 2 \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \Phi\left( \frac{L_1 - \mu}{\sigma_1} \right) \right]
\]

Similarly,

\[
I_2 = 2 \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} \left[ \Phi\left( \frac{L_2 - \mu}{\sigma_2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \right]
\]

Therefore,

\[
P(L_1 \leq X \leq L_2) = \frac{2}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} \left[ \sigma_2 \Phi\left( \frac{L_2 - \mu}{\sigma_2} \right) - \sigma_1 \Phi\left( \frac{L_1 - \mu}{\sigma_1} \right) + \frac{\sigma_1 - \sigma_2}{2} \right]
\]
Case III: $\mu \leq L_1 < L_2$

$$P[L_1 \leq X \leq L_2] = \int_{L_1}^{L_2} Ce^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2} dx$$

$$= 2 \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} \left[ \Phi \left( \frac{L_2 - \mu}{\sigma_2} \right) - \Phi \left( \frac{L_1 - \mu}{\sigma_2} \right) \right]$$

similarly as case I.

Now we shall compute the $\alpha$-th percentile of the distribution as given in (8). Let it be a constant ‘$k$’ i.e.

$$P(X \leq k) = \alpha$$

where $k > \mu$ or $k \leq \mu$.

Then we have the following two cases:

Case I: $k > \mu$

$$P(X \leq k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\mu} Ce^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2} dx + \int_{\mu}^{k} Ce^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2} dx$$

$$= \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} + C \sigma_2 \int_0^{\frac{k-\mu}{\sigma_2}} e^{-t^2} dt$$

$$= \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} + C \sigma_2 \sqrt{2\pi} \left[ \Phi \left( \frac{k - \mu}{\sigma_2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \right]$$

$$= 1 - \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} + C \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sigma_2 \Phi \left( \frac{k - \mu}{\sigma_2} \right) - C \sigma_2 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}$$

$$= 1 - C \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sigma_2 + C \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sigma_2 \Phi \left( \frac{k - \mu}{\sigma_2} \right)$$

$$= 1 - C \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sigma_2 \left[ 1 - \Phi \left( \frac{k - \mu}{\sigma_2} \right) \right]$$

Case II: $k \leq \mu$
\[ P(X \leq k) = C \int_{-\infty}^{k} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \, dx \]

\[ = C \sigma_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{k-\mu}{\sigma_1}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} \, dt \]

\[ = C \sigma_1 \sqrt{2\pi} \left[ \Phi \left( \frac{k - \mu}{\sigma_1} \right) - \Phi \left( -\infty \right) \right] \]

\[ = C \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_1 \Phi \left( \frac{k - \mu}{\sigma_1} \right) \]

The distribution quantiles given in (9) can be obtained from (8) as follows:

For case I,
\[ \alpha = 1 - C \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_2 \left[ 1 - \Phi \left( \frac{k - \mu}{\sigma_2} \right) \right] \]

or
\[ C \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_2 \Phi \left( \frac{k - \mu}{\sigma_2} \right) = \alpha - 1 + C \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_2 \]

or
\[ k = \mu + \sigma_2 \Phi^{-1} \left( \frac{\alpha + C \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_2 - 1}{C \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_2} \right) \]

For case II,
\[ \alpha = C \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_1 \Phi \left( \frac{k - \mu}{\sigma_1} \right) \]

or
\[ k = \mu + \sigma_1 \Phi^{-1} \left( \frac{\alpha}{C \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_1} \right) \]

Now we shall compute the constant 'A' given in (10).
\[ \int f_X(x) \, dx = 1 \]

or
\[ \frac{A}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\mu} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \, dx + \int_{\mu}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1-y}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2} \, dx \right] = 1 \]
or \( A_1 (I_1 + I_2) = 1 \)

where \( A_1 = \frac{A}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma} \)

now

\[
I_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\mu} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}} \, dx
\]

where \( \sigma_1^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\gamma} \)

\[
= \sigma_1 \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} \, dt
\]

\[
= \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_1 \frac{1}{2}
\]

\[
= \sqrt{2\pi} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{1-\gamma}} \frac{1}{2}
\]

Similarly,

\[
I_2 = \sqrt{2\pi} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{1+\gamma}} \frac{1}{2}
\]

Therefore,

\[
\frac{A}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\gamma}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\gamma}} \right) = 1
\]

and therefore,

\[
A = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\gamma}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\gamma}}
\]

To get the expression given in (14), we start with (13) as,

\[
\xi = \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\gamma}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\gamma}} \right)
\]

or,

\[
\sqrt{\beta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\gamma}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\gamma}}
\]

or ,

\[
\beta = 2 \left( \frac{1}{1-\gamma^2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\gamma}^2} \right)
\]

or, \( \frac{2}{\sqrt{1-\gamma}^2} = \frac{2}{1-\gamma^2} - \beta \)
or, \[
\frac{4}{1-\gamma^2} = \frac{4}{(1-\gamma^2)^2} + \beta^2 - \frac{4\beta}{1-\gamma^2}
\]

or, \[
\frac{4}{(1-\gamma^2)^2} + \beta^2 - \frac{4}{1-\gamma^2} (1+\beta) = 0
\]

or, \[
\frac{1}{1-\gamma^2} = \frac{(\beta + 1) \pm \sqrt{2\beta + 1}}{2}
\]

for \[
\frac{1}{1-\gamma^2} = \frac{\beta + 1 + \sqrt{2\beta + 1}}{2},
\]

\[
\gamma^2 = 1 - \frac{2}{\beta + 1 + \sqrt{2\beta + 1}}
\]

\[
= 1 - \frac{2 \left( \sqrt{2\beta + 1} - (\beta + 1) \right)}{(2\beta + 1) - (\beta + 1)^2}
\]

\[
= 1 - \frac{2\beta + 2 - 2\sqrt{2\beta + 1}}{\beta^2}
\]

\[
= 1 - \frac{(\sqrt{2\beta + 1} - 1)^2}{\beta^2}
\]

Therefore,

\[
\gamma = \begin{cases} 
- \sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 + 2\beta} - 1}{\beta} \right)^2}, & \text{if } \xi > 0 \\
\sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 + 2\beta} - 1}{\beta} \right)^2}, & \text{if } \xi < 0
\end{cases}
\]
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